

Translating + Reproduction

Document No.
No Change in Status
☒ **Declassified**
Class. changed to: TS
Next Review Date:
Auth: HR 70-3
Date: 1-4-79 **By:** [Signature]

20 December 1956

L.K.W.:

SUBJECT: Temporary Nature of Thermoform Copy

REFERENCE: Your note and IG note, attached.

1. Attached here is a proposed Agency Notice covering a larger scope in the field of reproduction than contemplated by the IG and you. The sense of so doing is, I think, obvious.

2. The substance and wording of this proposed Notice have been through an extremely small knothole, so to speak, inclusive of National Archives. Organization of the paper has been subjected to scrutiny by the great composers and writers, [redacted] and myself.

/s/

25X1A9a

[redacted]
 Chief, Management Staff

3 Attachments

PS - An idea has just come to me, and I personally don't care which way your decision goes. If you desire to build the Management Staff in the mind of the Agency, you can, when appropriate, adopt the technique of attribution, i.e., the Agency could be told in given cases, and as appropriate, that the Management Staff did a piece of work. If it turns out to be bad, we are willing and happy to take the responsibility. If it turns out to be good, it is a reflection on the quality of DD/S support. The sum total of how good DD/S support can be is naturally progressively good performance on the part of the DD/S elements.

Here is a possible example, i.e., the Management Staff could sign this Notice, and the left-hand corner could show approval by the DD/S.

DD/S:LKW:mrp (27 Dec 56)

Distr: Orig - C/Mgmt Staff

J.E.O'G.

1 = DD/S chrono; 1 = DD/S subj.; 1 = DD/S reading

John

I would like to build up the Mgmt Staff in the mind of the Agency through attribution but I don't think we should do it in the way you suggest. This would lead to every Support Component issuing notices which are approved by DDS -- I don't think this would be too good.

(the above was handwritten by LKW) 27 Dec 56